## 生產與作業管理

## ＂Chapter 4A：LEARNING CURVES

## The Experience Curve

Cost or price per unit


Total accumulated production of units

## 學習曲線 基本介紹

－學習曲線理論的基本概念是在1936年由經濟學家Wright在飛機製造時所確定

- 產品每翻新一次，每架飛機的成本便有一定程度的下降
- 愈是經常地執行一項任務，每次所需的時間就越少


## Application of Learning Curves

- A line displaying the relationship between unit production time and the cumulative number of units produced
- Wide range of applications
- Can be used to estimate time and cost
- One of the trade-offs in JIT


## Types of Learning

1. Individual learning: improvement that results when people repeat a process and gain skill or efficiency from their own experience

- Practice makes perfect

2. Organizational learning: also comes from changes in administration, equipment, and product design

Expect to see both simultaneously

## Individual Learning

1 Proper selection of workers
(2) Proper training

3 Motivation
(4) Work specialization

5 Do one or very few jobs at a time
6 Use tools that support performance
7 Provide quick and easy access for help
8 Allow workers to help redesign tasks

## An Example Involving Two Job Applicants




## Organizational Learning

- Organizations learn as well.
- A main source is individual learning.
- An organization also acquires knowledge in its technology, its structure, documents it retains, and standard operating procedures.
- Knowledge can also be embedded in the organizational structure.
- Knowledge can depreciate if individuals leave the organization.
- Knowledge can depreciate if technologies become inaccessible or difficult to use.


## Learning Curve Assumptions

1. The amount of time required to complete a given task or unit of a product will be less each time the task is undertaken.
2. The unit time will decrease at a decreasing rate.
3. The reduction in time will follow a predictable pattern.

## Learning Curves Plotted as Times and Numbers of Units




## How are learning curves modeled?

- To analyze past data to fit a useful trend line
- In practice, learning curves are plotted using a graph with logarithmic scales.
- The unit curves become linear throughout their entire range.
- The cumulative curve becomes linear after the first few unit.
- Direct logarithmic analysis is more efficient because it does not require a complete enumeration of successive time-output combinations.


## Data for an 80 Percent Learning Curve

| (1) <br> UNTT <br> NUMBER | (2) <br> UNIT DIRECT | (3) <br> CUMULATIVE DIRECT <br> LABOR HOURS | (4) <br> CUMULATIVE AVERAGE <br> DIRECT <br> LABOR HOURS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 80,000 | 180,000 | 90,000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 64,000 | 314,210 | 78,553 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 51,200 | 534,591 | 66,824 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 40,960 | 892,014 | 55,751 |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | 32,768 | $1,467,862$ | 45,871 |
| $\mathbf{6 4}$ | 26,214 | $2,392,447$ | 37,382 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 8}$ | 20,972 | $3,874,384$ | 30,269 |
| $\mathbf{2 5 6}$ | 16,777 | $6,247,572$ | 24,405 |

## Resulting Learning Curve Plots

A. Arithmetic Plot of 70, 80, and 90 Percent Learning Curves

B. Logarithmic Plot of an 80 Percent Learning Curve


## Logarithmic Analysis

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{x} & =K x^{n} \\
x & =\text { Unit number }
\end{aligned}
$$

$Y_{x}=$ Number of direct labor hours required to produce the $x^{\text {th }}$ unit
$K=$ Number of direct labor hours requiredto produce the first unit
$n=\frac{\log b}{\log 2} \Rightarrow \log _{2} b$
$b=$ Learning percentage

## Example

$$
Y_{8}=(100,000)(8)^{n}
$$

- First unit takes 100,000 hours
- 80 percent learning curve
- Find hours for eighth unit

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{8} & =100,000(8)^{\log 0.8 / \log 2} \\
& =100,000(8)^{-0.322}=\frac{100,000}{(8)^{0.322}} \\
& =\frac{100,000}{1.9535}=51,192
\end{aligned}
$$

| UnIt | 60\% | 65\% | 70\% | 75\% | 80\% | 85\% | 90\% | 95\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 2 | . 6000 | . 6500 | . 7000 | . 7500 | . 8000 | . 8500 | . 9000 | . 9500 |
| 3 | . 4450 | . 5052 | . 5682 | . 6338 | . 7021 | . 7729 | . 8462 | . 9219 |
| 4 | . 3600 | . 4225 | . 4900 | . 5625 | . 6400 | . 7225 | . 8100 | . 9025 |
| 5 | . 3054 | . 3678 | . 4368 | . 5127 | . 5956 | . 6857 | . 7830 | . 8877 |
| 6 | . 2670 | . 3284 | . 3977 | . 4754 | . 5617 | . 6570 | . 7616 | . 8758 |
| 7 | . 2383 | . 2984 | . 3674 | . 4459 | . 5345 | . 6337 | . 7439 | . 8659 |
| 8 | . 2160 | . 2746 | . 3430 | . 4219 | . 5120 | . 6141 | . 7290 | . 8574 |
| 9 | . 1980 | . 2552 | . 3228 | . 4017 | . 4930 | . 5974 | . 7161 | . 8499 |
| 10 | . 1832 | . 2391 | . 3058 | . 3846 | . 4765 | . 5828 | . 7047 | . 8433 |
| 12 | . 1602 | . 2135 | . 2784 | . 3565 | . 4493 | . 5584 | . 6854 | . 8320 |
| 14 | . 1430 | . 1940 | . 2572 | . 3344 | . 4276 | . 5386 | . 6696 | . 8226 |
| 16 | . 1290 | . 1785 | . 2401 | . 3164 | . 4096 | . 5220 | . 6561 | . 8145 |
| 18 | . 1188 | . 1659 | . 2260 | . 3013 | . 3944 | . 5078 | . 6445 | . 8074 |
| 20 | . 1099 | . 1554 | . 2141 | . 2884 | . 3812 | . 4954 | . 6342 | . 8012 |
| 22 | . 1025 | . 1465 | . 2038 | . 2772 | . 3697 | . 4844 | . 6251 | . 7955 |
| 24 | . 0961 | . 1387 | . 1949 | . 2674 | . 3595 | . 4747 | . 6169 | . 7904 |
| 25 | . 0933 | . 1353 | . 1908 | . 2629 | . 3548 | . 4701 | . 6131 | . 7880 |
| 30 | . 0815 | . 1208 | . 1737 | . 2437 | . 3346 | . 4505 | . 5963 | . 7775 |
| 35 | . 0728 | . 1097 | . 1605 | . 2286 | . 3184 | . 4345 | . 5825 | . 7687 |
| 40 | . 0660 | . 1010 | . 1498 | . 2163 | . 3050 | . 4211 | . 5708 | . 7611 |

# Improvement Curves: Table of Unit Values 

Cumulative Improvement Factor

| Unit | $60 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| 2 | 1.600 | 1.650 | 1.700 | 1.750 | 1.800 | 1.850 | 1.900 | 1.950 |
| 3 | 2.045 | 2.155 | 2.268 | 2.384 | 2.502 | 2.623 | 2.746 | 2.872 |
| 4 | 2.405 | 2.578 | 2.758 | 2.946 | 3.142 | 3.345 | 3.556 | 3.774 |
| 5 | 2.710 | 2.946 | 3.195 | 3.459 | 3.738 | 4.031 | 4.339 | 4.662 |
| 6 | 2.977 | 3.274 | 3.593 | 3.934 | 4.299 | 4.688 | 5.101 | 5.538 |
| 7 | 3.216 | 3.572 | 3.960 | 4.380 | 4.834 | 5.322 | 5.845 | 6.404 |
| 8 | 3.432 | 3.847 | 4.303 | 4.802 | 5.346 | 5.936 | 6.574 | 7.261 |
| 9 | 3.630 | 4.102 | 4.626 | 5.204 | 5.839 | 6.533 | 7.290 | 8.111 |
| 10 | 3.813 | 4.341 | 4.931 | 5.589 | 6.315 | 7.116 | 7.994 | 8.955 |
| 12 | 4.144 | 4.780 | 5.501 | 6.315 | 7.227 | 8.244 | 9.374 | 10.62 |
| 14 | 4.438 | 5.177 | 6.026 | 6.994 | 8.092 | 9.331 | 10.72 | 12.27 |
| 16 | 4.704 | 5.541 | 6.514 | 7.635 | 8.920 | 10.38 | 12.04 | 13.91 |
| 18 | 4.946 | 5.879 | 6.972 | 8.245 | 9.716 | 11.41 | 13.33 | 15.52 |

## Example 4.1: The Data

- Contract for 11 boats, has completed 4 of them.
- Production manager has been reassigning people to torpedo assembly.
- The first boat required 225 workers, each working a 40 -hour week, while 45 fewer workers were required for the second boat.
- Manager has told them that "this is just the beginning" and he will complete the last boat with only 100 workers!


## Example: The Solution

- $180 / 225=0.80$
- Look up unit 11 for an 80 percent improvement ratio in Exhibit 6.4 approximately equal to the average of .4765 (corresponding to 10) and . 4493 (corresponding to 12)
- 0.4629
- $0.4269 \times 225=104$


## Example 4.2: The Data

- SUB Company has produced the first unit of a new line of minisubs at a cost of $\$ 500,000$.
- \$200,000 for materials and $\$ 300,000$ for labor
- It has agreed to accept a 10 percent profit and is willing to contract on the basis of a 70 percent learning curve.
- What will be the contract price for three mini-subs?


## Example 4.2: The Solution

| Cost of first sub |  | $\$ 500,000$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Cost of second sub |  |  |
| $\quad$ Materials | $\$ 200,000$ |  |
| $\quad$ Labor: $\$ 300,000 \times .70$ | $\underline{210,000}$ | 410,000 |
| Cost of third sub |  |  |
| $\quad$ Materials | 200,000 |  |
| $\quad$ Labor: $\$ 300,000 \times .5682$ | $\underline{170,460}$ | $\underline{370,460}$ |
| $\quad$ Total cost |  | $\begin{array}{l}1,280,460 \\ \text { Markup: } \$ 1,280,460 \times .10\end{array}$ |
| Selling price |  | $\$ 1,408,046$ |

## Estimating the Learning Percentage

- If production has been under way for some time, the learning percentage is easily obtained from production records.
- The longer the production history, the more accurate the estimate.


## Estimating the Learning Percentage

- If production has not started ...

1. Previous applications within the same industry.
2. Same or similar products.
3. analyze the similarities and differences between the proposed start-up and previous start-ups and develop a revised learning percentage that appears to best fit the situation.

## Solution \& HW

